

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/774,699		02/09/2004	Anatoli V. Melechko	UBAT1550 7366		
48958	7590	08/03/2005		EXAMINER		
JOHN BRU			LEE, CALVIN			
5708 BACK BAY LANE AUSTIN, TX 78739-1723				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, 17	A 10139	-1/23		2818		

DATE MAILED: 08/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/774,699	MELECHKO et al.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lee, Calvin	2818				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period working the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•	•				
•	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2 Application No: 10/774,699 MELECHKO et al.

Docket: UBAT1550

OFFICE ACTION

Election/Restriction

Claims 1-33 are restricted under 35 U.S.C. 121: 1.

- (A) Claims 1-17, drawn to a method of nanofabrication [class 438, subclass 20],
- (B) Claims 18 and 33, drawn to a nanoconduit material [class 445, subclass 24].
- Inventions A and B are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are 2. distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)).

In this case: (Group B compared to Group A) the nanconduit material can be formed by not only the claimed nanofabrication method, but also numerous semiconductor process/methods.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be completed must include an 3. election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37CFR 1.143).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Contact Information

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the Examiner should be directed to Calvin Lee at (571) 272-1896 on Mondays thru Thursdays 6:30-4:30 (EST). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, Art Unit 2818's Supervisory Patent Examiner David Nelms can be reached at (571) 272-1787. The central fax number for the organization (where this application is assigned to) is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system at http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center at (866) 217-9197.

Calvin Lee

Date: July 26, 2005